

Non trivial generalizations of the Schwinger pair production result

J. AVAN¹

LPTHE, Tour 16

*Université Pierre et Marie Curie
75252 Paris Cedex 05 France*

H. M. FRIED

Department of Physics

Brown University

Providence R.I. 02912 USA

Y. GABELLINI

Institut Non Linéaire de Nice

1361 Route des Lucioles

06560 Valbonne France

Abstract

We present new, non trivial generalizations of the recent Tomaras, Tsamis and Woodard extension of the original Schwinger formula for charged pair production in a constant electric field. That extension generalized the Schwinger result to electric fields $E_3(x_{\pm})$ dependent upon one or the other light-cone coordinates, x_+ or x_- , $x_{\pm} = x_3 \pm x_0$; the present work generalizes their result to electric fields $E_3(x_+, x_-)$ dependent upon both coordinates. Displayed in the form of a final, functional integral, or equivalent linkage operation, our result does not appear to be exactly calculable in the general case; and we give a simple, approximate example when $E_3(x_+, x_-)$ is a slowly varying function of its variables. We extend this result to the more general case where $\vec{E}$ can point in a varying direction, and where an arbitrary magnetic field $\vec{B}$ is present; both extensions can be cast into the form of Gaussian-weighted functional integrals over well defined factors, which are amenable to approximations depending on the nature and variations of the fields.

¹Supported in part by a CNRS/Brown Accord

A recent, non trivial generalization of Schwinger's 1951 calculation of the probability/vol.sec for e^+e^- production in a constant electric field has been given by Tomaras, Tsamis and Woodard (TTW) [1] in which the electric field may depend upon either light-cone coordinates $x_{\pm} = x_3 \pm x_0$, but not upon both. The form of their result is exactly the same as Schwinger's [2], which raises the question as to whether further generalizations are possible; and if so, what form they would take.

Shortly after the TTW paper appeared, a second and independent calculation of pair production in an electric field depending on either x_+ or x_- was performed [3] using functional techniques, and verifying the result of ref.[1]. Those functional methods are here employed to attempt a further generalization to the case where the electric field depends upon both light-cone variables, $E = E_3(x_+, x_-)$. The result is more complicated than the original Schwinger form, although the important (and non trivial) essential singularity in the region of small coupling is apparently preserved. The exact statement here requires the evaluation of an additional (and non trivial) functional integral; however, for certain situations, such as particle production in the overlap volume of a pair of high intensity lasers [3] reasonable kinematic approximations are surely justified. If

$$\ln P_0 = \frac{\alpha E^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-n\pi m^2/gE} \quad , \quad \alpha = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$$

denotes the logarithmic density of the exact vacuum-persistence probability density of the Schwinger constant field calculation, the main result of this paper can be expressed as

$$\ln P'_0 = \frac{\alpha E^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-n\pi m^2/gE} \mathcal{M}_n$$

with $E = E(x_+, x_-)$, $\tau_n = n\pi/gE$, $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\lambda_2 + \theta(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_n = & (-1)^n e^{4m^2\tau_n} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \frac{\delta}{\delta v_+(\lambda_1)} J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \frac{\delta}{\delta v_-(\lambda_2)} \\ & \times \cos \left[g \tau_n \int_0^1 d\lambda E \left(x_+ - m^{-1}v_+(\lambda), x_- - m^{-1}v_-(\lambda) \right) \right] \Big|_{v_{\pm} \rightarrow 0} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the “ linkage operation ” of (1) may be cast into a corresponding functional integral. It doesn't seem to be possible to evaluate (1) exactly,

although kinematic approximations are certainly possible. One of these will be used below to illustrate the formula. Note that if E depends on only one variable x_+ or x_- , $\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow 1$, and the TTW extension of the Schwinger result is obtained.

We hold to the notation and technique of ref.[3], beginning with the exact statement of the vacuum persistence amplitude in the absence of radiative corrections

$$\langle 0 | S | 0 \rangle = e^{L[A_{ext}]} \quad (2)$$

The vacuum persistence probability of the present problem, here called P'_0 , is then given by $P'_0 = \exp[2 \operatorname{Re} L[A_{ext}]]$, and the probability of producing (at least) one charged pair is $P'_1 = 1 - P'_0$. Note that $L[A]$ is really $L[F]$, since L is rigorously gauge invariant; but we shall continue to use the vector-potential description, beginning with the exact Fradkin representation [4]

$$\begin{aligned} L[A] = & -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-ism^2} \exp \left[i \int_0^s ds' \sum_\mu \frac{\delta^2}{\delta v_\mu^2(s')} \right] \\ & \times \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip \cdot \int_0^s ds' v(s')} \int d^4 x e^{-ig \int_0^s ds' v_\mu(s') A_\mu(x - \int_0^{s'} v)} \\ & \times \operatorname{tr} \left(e^{g \int_0^s ds' \sigma \cdot F(x - \int_0^{s'} v)} \right) \Big|_{v \rightarrow 0} - (g \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The functional linkage operation of (3) may be recast into that of a Gaussian-weighted functional integral, since

$$e^{i \int_0^s ds \frac{\delta^2}{\delta v^2(s')} \mathcal{F}[v]} \Big|_{v \rightarrow 0} = N \int d[v] e^{\frac{i}{4} \int_0^s ds v^2(s')} \mathcal{F}[v]$$

for arbitrary $\mathcal{F}[v]$, with the normalization N given by

$$N^{-1} = \int d[v] \exp \left[\frac{i}{4} \int_0^s ds v^2(s') \right]$$

The linkage formulation, which dispenses with normalization constants, is somewhat more convenient, and will be followed whenever possible.

To represent a given, external field in the $\hat{3}$ direction, we may choose $A_\mu = (\vec{A}_\perp, A_3, A_0) \rightarrow (0, A_3, A_0) \rightarrow (0, A_+, A_-)$ with $\vec{A}_\perp = 0$, $A_\pm(x) = \sum_\mu n_\pm^\mu A_\mu(x_+, x_-)$. Here, $n_\pm^\mu = (0, 0, 1, \mp 1)$, so that $n_\pm \cdot a = a_\pm = a_3 \pm a_0$. Note that $n_+^2 = n_-^2 = 0$, $n_+ \cdot n_- = 2$, and that $p \cdot A \rightarrow p_3 A_3 - p_0 A_0 =$

$\frac{1}{2}(p_+A_- + p_-A_+)$. We shall choose the gauge specified by $A_- = 0$, so that $A^2 = A_\perp^2 + A_+A_- = 0$, and $E(x_+, x_-) = -\frac{\partial A_+}{\partial x_+}(x_+, x_-)$.

As in ref.[3], we extract the $v_\mu(s'')$ dependence inside the arguments of A_μ and $F_{\mu\nu}$, or of A_+ and E , by introducing a functional form of unity into (3), replacing $\mathcal{F}\left[\int_0^{s'} v_+(s''), \int_0^{s'} v_-(s'')\right]$ by

$$\int d[u_+] \int d[u_-] \mathcal{F}\left[u_+(s'), u_-(s')\right] \delta\left[u_+(s') - \int_0^{s'} v_+(s'')\right] \delta\left[u_-(s') - \int_0^{s'} v_-(s'')\right] \quad (4)$$

where the δ -functional notation means that when the region $0 - s$ is broken up into many small intervals labeled by the discrete indices s_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ (with $N \rightarrow \infty$, subsequently), $\delta\left[Q(s')\right] \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^N \delta\left(Q(s_i)\right)$.

Note that since we are treating each $v_\pm(s')$ as a continuous (although not necessarily differentiable) function of s' , the $u_\pm(s')$ introduced in (4) have, at least, a continuous first derivative.

Each δ function of (4), and the overall δ functional, may be written in terms of a standard Fourier representation; so that, in the continuous limit, (4) may be replaced by

$$N'^2 \int d[u_+] \int d[u_-] \mathcal{F}\left[u_+(s'), u_-(s')\right] \int d[\Omega_+] \int d[\Omega_-] \quad (5)$$

$$\times e^{i \int_0^s ds' \left[u_+(s') \Omega_+(s') + u_-(s') \Omega_-(s') \right]} e^{-i \int_0^s ds' \left[\Omega_+(s') \int_0^{s'} v_+(s'') + \Omega_-(s') \int_0^{s'} v_-(s'') \right]}$$

where N' is an appropriate normalization factor (which disappears from the final result). With the aid of Abel's trick, the last exponential factor of (5) may be written as

$$\exp\left\{-i \int_0^s ds' v_\mu(s') \left[n_+^\mu \int_{s'}^s ds'' \Omega_+(s'') + n_-^\mu \int_{s'}^s ds'' \Omega_-(s'') \right]\right\}$$

so that the entire v -linkage operation is immediate :

$$e^{i \int_0^s ds \frac{\delta^2}{\delta v^2(s')}} e^{i \int_0^s ds' v_\mu(s') \left[p_\mu - g A_\mu(s') - n_+^\mu \int_{s'}^s ds'' \Omega_+(s'') - n_-^\mu \int_{s'}^s ds'' \Omega_-(s'') \right]} \Big|_{v \rightarrow 0}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-i \int_0^s ds' \left[p_\mu - g A_\mu(s') - n_+^\mu \int_{s'}^s \Omega_+ - n_-^\mu \int_{s'}^s \Omega_- \right]^2\right\} \quad (6)$$

where we have used the notation $A_\mu(s') \equiv A_\mu(x_+ - u_+(s'), x_- - u_-(s'))$. As in ref.[3], the explicitly quadratic Ω_+ and Ω_- terms of the exponential factor of (6) are removed because $n_+^2 = n_-^2 = 0$, but there remains a non zero $\Omega_+ \cdot \Omega_-$ cross term, so that (6) becomes

$$\exp\left\{-isp^2 + igp_- \int_0^s ds' A_+(s') + 2ip_+ \int_0^s ds' s' \Omega_+(s') + 2ip_- \int_0^s ds' s' \Omega_-(s') - 2ig \int_0^s ds' \Omega_+(s') \int_0^{s'} ds'' A_+(s'') - 4i \int_0^s ds_1 \int_0^s ds_2 \Omega_+(s_1) h(s_1, s_2) \Omega_-(s_2)\right\} \quad (7)$$

where $h(s_1, s_2) = \theta(s_1 - s_2)s_2 + \theta(s_2 - s_1)s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2 - |s_1 - s_2|)$ is that function of proper time which always appears when constructing representations of $G_c[A]$ [5]. In writing (7), we have used the “inverse” form of Abel’s manipulation.

Performing the $\int d^4p$, one requires

$$\int d^4p e^{-isp^2 + ip \cdot \left[n_- g \int_0^s A(s') + 2n_+ \int_0^s s' \Omega_+(s') + 2n_- \int_0^s s' \Omega_-(s') \right]} = -i \left(\frac{\pi^2}{s^2} \right) \exp\left\{ 4i \int_0^s ds_1 \int_0^s ds_2 \Omega_+(s_1) \left(\frac{s_1 s_2}{s} \right) \Omega_-(s_2) + \frac{2i}{s} \int_0^s s' \Omega_+(s') g \int_0^s A_+(s') \right\} \quad (8)$$

where we have again used the properties : $n_+^2 = n_-^2 = 0$, $n_+ \cdot n_- = 2$. The new $\Omega_+ \Omega_-$ term of (8) may be combined with the previous, like term of (7), forming the combination $\exp\left\{-4i \int_0^s \int_0^s \Omega_+ J \Omega_-\right\}$, $J(s_1, s_2) = h(s_1, s_2) - \frac{s_1 s_2}{s}$; and the functional integral over $\Omega_\pm$ may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{4i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_+(s_1)} J(s_1, s_2) \frac{\delta}{\delta u_-(s_2)} N'^2 \int d[\Omega_+] \int d[\Omega_-] e^{i \int_0^s ds' u_-(s') \Omega_-(s')} } \\ & \times e^{i \int_0^s ds' \Omega_+(s') \left[u_+(s') + 2g \frac{s'}{s} \int_0^s ds'' A_+(s'') - 2g \int_0^{s'} ds'' A_+(s'') \right]} \quad (9) \\ & = e^{4i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_+} J \frac{\delta}{\delta u_-} \delta[u_-(s')] \delta \left[u_+(s') + 2g \frac{s'}{s} \int_0^s A_+(s'') - 2g \int_0^{s'} A_+(s'') \right]} \end{aligned}$$

Eq.(9) is multiplied by the $u_\pm$ -dependent factor

$$\text{tr} \left(e^{g \int_0^s ds' \sigma \cdot F(x_+ - u_+(s'), x_- - u_-(s'))} \right)_+ = 4 \cosh \left(g \int_0^s ds' E(s') \right) \quad (10)$$

where $E(s') \equiv E(x_+ - u_+(s'), x_- - u_-(s'))$. The $\int d[u_+] \int d[u_-]$ may be evaluated by transferring (by an integration by parts over each u variable) the differential $\delta/\delta u_{\pm}$ operators which act upon the δ functionals of (9) to equivalent operations upon the $u_{\pm}$ dependence of (10)

$$4 \left| \det \frac{\delta u}{\delta f} \right| e^{4i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_+} J \frac{\delta}{\delta u_-} \cosh \left(g \int_0^s ds' E(s') \right) \Big|_{u_-(s')=0, u_+(s')=u(s')}$$

where $\left| \det \frac{\delta u}{\delta f} \right|$ is the determinant of the transformation from the variables $u_+(s')$ to the variables $f(s')$, the latter given by the argument of the δ functional produced by the $\int d[\Omega_+]$. Here, $u(s')$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$u(s') = 2g \left[\int_0^{s'} ds'' A_+(s'') - \frac{s'}{s} \int_0^s ds'' A_+(s'') \right] \quad (11)$$

with $u_-(s') = 0$, corresponding to the other δ functional of (9).

By exactly the same arguments as in [3], the only possible solution to (11) is $u(s') = u'(s') = 0$, which means that, as in [3],

$$\left| \det \frac{\delta u}{\delta f} \right| = \frac{gsE(x_+, x_-)}{\sinh(gsE(x_+, x_-))} \quad (12)$$

with the difference that this E can depend upon both x_+ and x_- .

The sign of E entering into (10) and (12) is irrelevant, and we shall suppose it is positive. Our expression for $L[A]$ can therefore be put into a form which closely resembles that of Schwinger, and of ref.[3].

$$\begin{aligned} L[A] = & \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^2} e^{-ism^2} \frac{gE}{\sinh(gsE)} e^{4ism^2 \iint_0^1 d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \frac{\delta}{\delta v_+(\lambda_1)} J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \frac{\delta}{\delta v_-(\lambda_2)}} \\ & \times \cosh \left[gs \int_0^1 d\lambda E(x_+ - m^{-1}v_+(\lambda), x_- - m^{-1}v_-(\lambda)) \right] \Big|_{v_{\pm} \rightarrow 0} - (g \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In writing (13), we have observed that, in the interval $0 - s$, any continuous function $u_{\pm}(s')$ may be given by a Fourier series representation as a function of (s'/s) , and we therefore replace $u_{\pm}(s')$ by $m^{-1}v_{\pm}(s'/s) \equiv m^{-1}v_{\pm}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda = s'/s$, and the factor m^{-1} is inserted for dimensional reasons (and will cancel away when the functional derivatives $\delta/\delta v_{\pm}$ are taken). However, because

$$\frac{\delta u_{\pm}(s_1)}{\delta u_{\pm}(s_2)} = \delta(s_1 - s_2) = \frac{1}{s} \delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta v_{\pm}(\lambda_1)}{\delta v_{\pm}(\lambda_2)} = \delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$$

one must adopt the relation $\frac{\delta}{\delta u_{\pm}(s')} = \frac{m}{s} \frac{\delta}{\delta v_{\pm}(\lambda')}$. After extracting an overall factor of s , $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is understood to be given by

$$J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\lambda_2 + \theta(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2$$

Extracting the $\text{Re } L[A]$ from an expression involving an operator such as that of (13) requires some further thought, and we therefore imagine that the linkage operator of (13) is expanded in powers of J , so that the rotation of contour $s \rightarrow -i\tau$ is permitted; here, that expansion yields powers of $4m^2\tau \iint_0^1 \frac{\delta}{\delta v_+(\lambda_1)} J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \frac{\delta}{\delta v_-(\lambda_2)}$, which generate real results because E and all of its derivative are real. Under this contour rotation, one again finds that the contributions to $\text{Re } L[A]$ arise from zeroes of the denominator $\sin(g\tau E)$, occuring for $\tau \rightarrow \tau_n - i\varepsilon$, $\tau_n = n\pi/gE$; and we then sum up all J -dependent terms, so that

$$2\text{Re } L[A] = -\frac{\alpha}{\pi^2} \int d^4x E^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-n\pi m^2/gE} \mathcal{M}_n(x_+, x_-)$$

with $\mathcal{M}_n$ defined in (1). This seems to be as far as the exact analysis can be performed.

Without approximation, the linkage operation of (13) may be converted to a pair of functional integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{iab \int \frac{\delta}{\delta v_+} J \frac{\delta}{\delta v_-} \mathcal{F}[v_+, v_-] \Big|_{v_{\pm} \rightarrow 0}} \\ &= \det[J] \int d[\chi_+] \int d[\chi_-] e^{i \int \chi_+ J \chi_-} \mathcal{F}\left[a \int J \chi_+, b \int J \chi_-\right] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and mean field/stationary phase approximations derived for the right hand side of (14). Perhaps the simplest approximation of all occurs when $E(x_+, x_-) = E_+(x_+) + E_-(x_-)$, a form suggested by recent estimates of pair production in the overlap region of two, crossed, high intensity lasers [3]. If all the derivatives of E of order higher than the second are neglected,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 d\lambda E_{\pm}(x_{\pm} - m^{-1}v_{\pm}(\lambda)) &\simeq E_{\pm}(x_{\pm}) - m^{-1} \int_0^1 d\lambda v_{\pm}(\lambda) \frac{\partial E_{\pm}(x_{\pm})}{\partial x_{\pm}} \\ &+ \frac{m^{-2}}{2} \int_0^1 d\lambda v_{\pm}^2(\lambda) \frac{\partial^2 E_{\pm}(x_{\pm})}{\partial x_{\pm}^2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

which approximation assumes that the fractional variations of the electric field are very small over distances on the order of the Compton wavelength.

Then, it is easy to see that the linkage operation yields

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \cos \left[\frac{a^2}{2} Q (\alpha_+^2 \gamma_- + \alpha_-^2 \gamma_+) \right] \exp \left[-4a^2 \alpha_+ \alpha_- R - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln(1 + a^2 \gamma_+ \gamma_- I) \right] \quad (15)$$

where : $a = 4\pi n/gE$, $\alpha_{\pm} = n\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\pm}} \ln(E/m^2)$, $\gamma_{\pm} = \frac{n\pi}{E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\pm}^2} E$, $E = E_+ + E_-$ and :

$$Q = \iint_0^1 d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \langle \lambda_1 | I (1 + a^2 \gamma_+ \gamma_- I)^{-1} | \lambda_2 \rangle$$

$$R = \iint_0^1 d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \langle \lambda_1 | J (1 + a^2 \gamma_+ \gamma_- I)^{-1} | \lambda_2 \rangle$$

with

$$I(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \langle \lambda_1 | I | \lambda_2 \rangle = \int_0^1 d\lambda J(\lambda_1, \lambda) J(\lambda, \lambda_2)$$

Note that, formally, for large n , $R \sim n^{-4}$ while $a^2 x_+ x_- \sim n^4$, so that the exponential terms of (15) cannot grow rapidly with n . One therefore infers that the essential singularity structure of the original Schwinger result is preserved.

For an electric field E_3 , which depends upon $x_{\perp}$, one can retain the condition $A_- = A_{\perp} = 0$, but require A_+ to depend on $x_{\perp}$, as well as x_+ and x_- . One then introduces variables $u_{\perp}(s')$ and $\Omega_{\perp}(s')$, and following the above analysis finds

$$L[A] = \frac{i}{32\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-ism^2} \int d^4 x \int d[u_+] \int d[u_-] \int d[u_{\perp}] \text{tr} \left(e^{g \int_0^s \sigma \cdot F} \right)_+$$

$$\times e^{4i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_+} J \frac{\delta}{\delta u_-} + i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_{\perp}} J \frac{\delta}{\delta u_{\perp}}} \delta[u_+(s') + 2g \frac{s'}{s} \int_0^s A_+(s'') - 2g \int_0^{s'} A_+(s'')] (16)$$

$$\times \delta[u_-(s')] \delta[u_{\perp}(s')]$$

An integration by part on the $u_{\pm}$, $u_{\perp}$ dependence then allows the linkage operator to act upon the $\text{tr} \left(e^{g \int_0^s \sigma \cdot F} \right)_+$ term only; and one sees that the jacobian of the transformation between the $u_{\pm}(s')$ and $f(s')$ variables is exactly the same as in (12), except that here $E = E_3(x_+, x_-, x_{\perp})$. If we

restrict $\vec{E}$ to lie in the 3 direction, then again, $\text{tr}\left(e^{g\int_0^s \sigma \cdot F}\right) \rightarrow 4 \cosh(gsE)$ and the limits $u_{\pm} = u_{\perp} = 0$ are to be taken after the linkage operator of (16) acts upon the $u_{\pm}$, $u_{\perp}$ dependence inside $4 \cosh(gsE)$, with $E = E_3(x_+ - u_+(s'), x_- - u_-(s'), x_{\perp} - u_{\perp}(s'))$.

For arbitrary $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ fields, one must include at the very beginning $A_{\perp}(x_+, x_-, x_{\perp}) \neq 0$, although it is still convenient to retain the gauge condition $A_- = 0$. Now there will appear in this final generalization of (16) the extra factor

$$\mathcal{F}[u] = \exp\left[-ig^2 \int_0^s ds'' A_{\perp}^2(s'') + i \frac{g^2}{s} \left(\int_0^s ds'' A_{\perp}(s'')\right)^2\right]$$

where, following the notation of (6), $A_{\perp}(s') = A_{\perp}(x_+ - u_+(s'), x_- - u_-(s'), x_{\perp} - u_{\perp}(s'))$, and $\mathcal{F}[u]$ multiplies the trace of the now more complicated or-

dered exponential (OE) $\left(e^{g\int_0^s \sigma \cdot F}\right)_+$. Following techniques developed for unitary OEs [6], the present OE can be approximately evaluated analytically when $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ directions change in ways that can be characterized as slowly varying (“ adiabatic ”) or rapidly fluctuating (“ stochastic ”); and the same linkage operator as in (16) is then to act upon the product $\mathcal{F}[u] \left(e^{g\int_0^s \sigma \cdot F}\right)_+$. Note that there will appear another jacobian, involved in the variable change from $u_{\perp}(s')$ to $f_{\perp}(s')$, as in (12), and both jacobians will simultaneously involve $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$. Alternatively, if one wishes to avoid the direct evaluation of these jacobians, one can rewrite this generalization of (16) in terms of functional integrals, and attempt to use mean field/stationary phase methods for their approximate evaluation. This extension of (16) provides a functional representation of $L[A]$ appropriate for the most general choice of $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ fields. When the latter are constants, and in particular for $\vec{B} = 0$, it reduces immediately to Schwinger’s 1951 solution, while it generates a definition of $L[A]$ at any stage inbetween.

Finally, a more symmetric formulation, explicitly depending only on the $F_{\mu\nu}$ may be obtained by starting from formula (5.22) of the book of ref.[4]

$$\begin{aligned} L[F] = & -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} e^{-ism^2} \int d^4x \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i \int_0^s \frac{\delta^2}{\delta v^2}} e^{ip \cdot \int_0^s v} \\ & \times N' \int d[\Omega] \int d[u] e^{i \int_0^s u_{\mu} \Omega_{\mu}} e^{-i \int_0^s \Omega_{\mu} \int_0^{s'} v_{\mu}} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\times e^{-ig \int_0^s ds' v_\mu(s') u_\nu(s') \int_0^1 \lambda d\lambda F_{\mu\nu}(x - \lambda u(s'))} \text{tr} \left(e^{g \int_0^s \sigma \cdot F(x - u(s'))} \right)_+ - (g \rightarrow 0)$$

where every factor of $\int_0^{s'} ds'' v_\mu(s'')$ has been replaced by $u_\mu(s')$. Performing the linkage operation, $\int d^4 p$ and $\int d[\Omega]$, then leads to the result

$$L[F] = \frac{i}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-ism^2} \int d^4 x \prod_{\mu=1}^4 \int d[u] \Delta[u_\mu] \\ \times e^{i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_\mu} J \frac{\delta}{\delta u_\mu} \text{tr} \left(e^{g \int_0^s \sigma \cdot F} \right)_+} e^Q, \quad (18)$$

$$\Delta[u_\mu] = \delta \left[u_\mu(s') - 2g \int_0^s ds'' (\theta(s' - s) - \frac{s'}{s}) \int_0^1 \lambda d\lambda F_{\mu\nu}(x - \lambda u(s'')) u_\nu(s'') \right] \\ Q = -ig^2 \int_0^s ds' \int_0^1 \lambda d\lambda \int_0^1 \lambda' d\lambda' \int_0^s ds'' u_\nu(s') u_\sigma(s'') F_{\mu\nu}(x - \lambda u(s')) F_{\mu\sigma}(x - \lambda' u(s'')) \left[\delta(s' - s'') - \frac{1}{s} \right]$$

The only solutions for each $u_\mu(s')$ allowed by the product of the four δ functional of (18) are $u_\mu(s') \equiv 0$, although the jacobians obtained from each variable change $u_\mu(s') \rightarrow f_\mu(s')$ will each be unity only for the Schwinger case of constant $F_{\mu\nu}$; in this latter situation, the $\exp \left[i \int \frac{\delta}{\delta u_\mu} J \frac{\delta}{\delta u_\mu} \right]$ operation may be recast into that of soluble gaussian functional integrals over the $u_\mu(s')$, leading back to Schwinger's original result.

References

- [1] T.N. Tomaras, N.C. Tsamis and R.P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 125005 (2000)
- [2] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951). See also L.S. Brown and T.W.B. Kibble, Phys. Rev. **133**, 705 (1954); and the many references listed therein.
- [3] H.M. Fried, Y. Gabellini, B.H.J. McKellar and J. Avan, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 125001 (2001)

- [4] E.S. Fradkin, Nucl. Phys. **76**, 588 (1966). A detailed explanation and derivation of the Fradkin representation can be found in the book by H.M. Fried, Functional Methods and Eikonal Models, Editions Frontières, Gif sur Yvette, France (1990).
- [5] H.M. Fried and Y. Gabellini, Phys. Rev. D**51**, 890 (1995) and H.M. Fried and Y. Gabellini, Phys. D**51**, 906 (1995)
- [6] M.-E. Brachet and H.M. Fried, Phys. Lett. A**103**, 309 (1984) and J. Math. Phys. **28**, 15 (1987); H.M. Fried, J. Math. Phys. **28**, 1275 (1987) and J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1161 (1989)